```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
            FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
2
3
     TOM M.E. LINN,
                 Plaintiff,
4
                                          ) No. CIV-99-650-F
5
     vs.
6
     ADVANCE MAGAZINE PUBLISHERS,
     INC., d/b/a THE CONDE NAST
     PUBLICATIONS, INC.; MARY A.
7
     FISCHER,
8
                 Defendants.
9
     BRYAN P. DONNELLY,
10
11
12
     JESSE C. TRENTADUE; SUTTER
     AXLAND, P.L.C.; CONDE NAST
13
     PUBLICATIONS, INC.; ADVANCE
     MAGAZINE PUBLISHERS, INC. and
14
     MARY A. FISCHER,
15
                 Defendants.
16
                  DEPOSITION OF KEVIN ROWLAND
               TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS
17
                  IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
                      ON DECEMBER 11, 2002
18
19
                        D&R REPORTING & VIDEO, INC.
20
                     ROBINSON RENAISSANCE
                                        MID-CONTINENT TOWER
21
                     119 N. ROBINSON, STE 650
                                        401 S. BOSTON, STE 310
22
                     OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102 TULSA OKLAHOMA 74103
23
                     405-235-4106
                                        918-599-0507
                                  1-800-771-1500
24
      DandR4106@aol.com
REPORTED BY: ELIZABETH CAUDILL, CSR, RMR, CRR
25
```

15.

Page 9

death investigations that the central division handles. And I do continuing education lectures for other law enforcement agencies, medical personnel, and legal personnel as well.

Basically one of those things is -- and all other duties as otherwise assigned, which entails a lot of things. But that's pretty much it in a nutshell, overseeing all the death investigations.

- Q For how long have you been employed by the medical examiner's office?
- A I came to work here December 23rd, 1985, so about two weeks shy of 17 years.
- Q 17 years. For how long have you been the chief investigator?
- A Since July 1st of '93. So it's, what, coming up on nine years? Has been nine years.
- Q And you were the chief investigator, then, in August of 1995; is that correct?
  - A Yes.

Q Mr. Rowland, let me invite your attention to August 21 of 1995. How and when was it that you had first learned that there had been a death at the Federal Transfer Center here in Oklahoma City?

where it was, and arrive there?

- A Yes, she did.
- Q And did she report back to you what she learned upon her arrival?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And what was that?
- A She contacted me after she -- she'd been there to get an assessment of the situation, told me that -- basically briefed me on what was going on at that point and that it was obvious there was a lot of confusion.

She told me that, you know, they were saying it was a suicide, but she described the injuries that she'd seen to the body and that she had some concerns about whether or not it was a suicide, and also told me at that time that the cell was sealed off, they weren't going into the cell, they weren't going to let her in, that they were going to, you know, be processing this later and, you know, asked me what she needed to do.

- Q Did you give her any instructions?
- A Yeah. I had her well, at that time I had her put Marie Carter, who was the acting warden, on the phone with me.
  - I talked with Ms. Carter briefly trying

Page 7

- A It was approximately 6:40 in the morning when I received a phone call at my house from one of my investigators, Tammy Gillis.
- Q And in general terms, what did she inform you at that time?
- A She told me that she was heading to the Federal Transfer Center, that they'd had a death of an inmate.

One, she was asking me if I knew for sure where the place was and, two, you know, she was aware at the time we did not handle federal inmate deaths and wanted advice as to what to do.

- Q As of that time in August of '95, had you ever investigated a death at the Federal Transfer Center?
  - A No, sir.
- Q So this is the first occasion that any of you had to be involved in such an investigation?
  - A Correct.
- Q You're Ms. Gillis's supervisor? She reports to you?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did she, in fact, so far as you know, go to the Federal Transfer Center, figure out

to assess if they were calling the FBI in to investigate or not. She made it clear that, no, we investigate our own stuff.

And I told her based on what had been reported to me from my investigator, that it was my recommendation she call the FBI because she needed an outside neutral entity to do the investigation. She still refused, saying that -- that they had their own people to do the investigation.

I asked her if the cell was going to remain secured. She said yes. I said -- at that time I told Tammy to go ahead and get the body in here to the office, I would meet them here at the office, I would assess the situation and take further steps from there.

- Q When you use the pronoun "she" in reference to she said we would do our own investigation and so on, that was in reference to Acting Warden Carter?
- A Yes.
- Q To your knowledge, did Ms. Gillis ever gain access to the cell at the Federal Transfer Center where Trentadue had been on the morning of the 21st of August?

.16

Page 13

A She never -- she never was allowed to enter the cell. She looked through a window briefly.

Q What did Ms. Gillis report to you as her supervisor about the condition of the body?

A She told me that he had -- he had blood pretty much all over his body, said that he had some large lacerations to his head, and that he had cuts on his neck, and that he looked like he'd been in a -- in a major fight.

Q Did Acting Warden Carter have any explanation, in your conversation with her, as to the cause of those injuries?

A No. The one thing that I was able to isolate real quickly with Ms. Carter that I was very clear on was I asked her if any other inmates had access to Mr. Trentadue. Of course, at that time they were calling him Brockway.

I asked her if they had any access to him, and she assured me that at no time after he went into that cell did any inmate have access to him.

Then I told her once again, I said, well, if no inmates had access to him and he has got all of this kind of trauma, if it turns out contacted Ms. Carter again, restating that this
man looks like he has been assaulted and that
this death needs to be worked as a homicide and
that she needed to call the FBI, which once again
she refused to call the FBI; so I advised Ms.
Carter that I would be calling the FBI, which I
did at that time.

Q And about when that morning did you call the FBI?

A About 8:25 that morning I called and talked to Supervisory Agent Hunt, advised him of the circumstances, that this death looked extremely suspicious, this man looked like he'd been assaulted, and that the death needed to be investigated as a homicide and that every inmate in the cells around should be questioned, as well as the staff that were on duty, and that I wanted them to proceed with this and conduct this as a homicide investigation. And he assured me that they would.

Q Was it your understanding, then, on the morning of August 21, '95, that the FBI would investigate?

A Yes

Q Mr. Rowland, when was the first time

Page 11

that somebody inflicted that on him, it isolates it down to being only staff that could have done it and, therefore, I highly strongly recommend you call the FBI, because it's your people that, if anything's wrongdoing, they're going to be the ones accused, and you should have an outside entity for your own protection. If nobody's done anything wrong, have somebody else work it.

Q I guess just so our record is clear, the person who died at the Federal Transfer Center was originally identified to you as Vance Brockway; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q It turned out his real name was what?

A Kenneth Michael Trentadue.

O After talking with Ms. Gillis,

reporting back to you from the Federal Transfer Center and after your conversation with Acting Warden Carter, what happened next in terms of the sequence of events with respect to Trentadue that morning?

A Well, when we got the body into the office, we got him in here about 7:50 in the morning. I looked at the body as soon as -- as soon as he was unloaded, and I immediately

that you personally went to the Federal Transfer Center to see the cell in which Trentadue had been housed?

A Let's see. The first time that I went out there, I think the -- I don't have it on my -- the first time I went out there, I went out there with Special Agent Jeff Jenkins from the FBI. And that was to, one, obtain some records that day, some medical records, and did go up and look at the cell.

That was -- that would have been probably in -- in November of 1995, as best I can remember.

Q So some three months after the death?

A Yeah. It was -- it was many weeks later, yeah.

Q Did you at any point in time learn that the cell 709A in which Trentadue had been housed had been cleaned or sanitized by the Bureau of Prisons?

A Yes. I learned later on, probably -it was probably a couple of weeks after the
death, that, in fact, the FBI never did go out
there that morning and process and interview
people as I had requested that they do and they

Page 17

assured me they would; that at that point, they had not done what I thought they were going to do; and that the only scene investigation conducted was by the Bureau of Prisons.

MR. COOK: Object to the answer as non-responsive.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) As best you can recall, who was it that informed you that the FBI hadn't been out and the BOP had cleaned the cell?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It was probably -- as best I recall, it was -- it was from Jeff Jenkins, Special Agent Jeff Jenkins that, when he came over to -- to discuss some things or in one of our conversations, that he didn't have any scene pictures because they didn't go out and process it.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) When you say "they," you're referring to the FBI?

A Yes.

Q To your knowledge, did any other investigative authority, the Oklahoma City Police Department or the Oklahoma County DA's office or anybody else, have access to the cell and gain

Special Agent Tom Linn, Lieutenant Johnny Kuhlman from the Oklahoma City Police Department. There was several other people if you want me to name those that I know for sure.

Jeff Jenkins was there briefly for awhile from the FBI, and then Lane Taylor, William Loftis, Max Haynes and Eric Richardson all from the Oklahoma City Police Department, we went out there to Luminol the cell.

Q And were you escorted up to the cell by BOP personnel?

A Yes, we were.

Q What was your purpose for visiting the cell on that occasion?

A We -- our purpose then was to go out and perform testing called Luminol testing to see if we could see any evidence of blood spatter, blood patterns.

Luminol fluoresces with blood and allows you to see things -- you know, see the presence of blood or blood products even though they've been cleaned. It's something that you could see in the dark.

Q When you went to the cell on December 14th, other than what you could observe through

Page 15

forensic evidence before the BOP cleaned the cell?

A No, they did not.

Q You mentioned a minute ago at one point going out to the FTC with Special Agent Jeff Jenkins from the FBI.

Did you actually see the cell on that occasion? You talked about gaining records and so on. Do you recall whether you saw the cell on that visit?

A I think -- as best I recall some eight years later, I believe that I did go up there and -- and briefly look at it, not from any kind of a forensic standpoint. It was just at that point just get a -- get a view of where the area was.

Q Let me invite your attention ahead in time to December 14 of 1995. Did you have occasion on that date to go to the FTC and inspect cell 709A?

A Yes, I did.

Q Were you with other people?

22 A Yes

Q Who was in the group that you went with?

A Dr. Fred Jordan from our office, we met

the Luminolling of the cell, was there any evidence of blood or hair or anything else in the cell at that time?

A When we were out there? Yes, there was blood on the bunk, on the inside of a stool on the bunk. And I remember we did find -- we still found some blood -- traces of blood, and that was with the naked eye there.

And then we ultimately took the -- took the bunk out and secured it as evidence before we processed the rest of the cell.

Q So those are actual collections of blood still left on the cell that had not been cleaned; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Did it appear whether or not the walls had been painted?

A Yes. In fact, I -- I asked if the walls had been repainted, and they said yes.

Q That was somebody from the BOP that told you that?

A Yeah, yes, I'm sorry, somebody from the Bureau of Prisons.

Q Did you, yourself, observe the process of spreading the Luminol around to see what it

2

3

8

9

10

14

15

16

19

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 21

might show?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes. I assisted with spraying it.
- And what did you observe?
- A I saw several areas where you could tell that blood had -- had been on the floor, the walls.

At one time, you also could see evidence where things had been -- you know, blood had been cleaned, because of the cleaning agents will fluoresce briefly -- not nearly as brightly or as long as the blood products themselves -but it's able to show you what the -- an area that you know has been cleaned and swiped.

- O I believe at one time I saw a statement attributed -- I think it was to you -- that the cell lit up like a Christmas tree with the
- Luminol. 17
- 18 A Yeah. 19
  - Q Would that be a fair description?
- 20 Yes, sir. Α
- 21 O Did you, in fact, use that expression 22 at some time?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q As a result of applying Luminol, did it appear to you that there was -- or had been blood

- ourselves that, you know, if somebody's trying to kill themselves, why is there blood over near this panic button, you know. Why, if someone's 4 trying to kill themselves, why would you -- you
- 5 know, why would there be blood over here by this. It makes you wonder if somebody's not actually 6 7 trying to -- trying to get for help, you know.
  - Q Approximately how far away from the bunk and the metal stool and the other configurations on that side of the room, how far away is the panic button from that area?
- 11 A I would estimate probably four to five 12 13 feet at most.
  - Q Okay. Now, cell 709 is in the special housing unit, the SHU --
    - A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q -- at the Federal Transfer Center; is 18 that correct?
  - A Yes.
- 20 Q As you were leaving the cell and the 21 SHU, was any comment made that you heard by one 22 or more of the guards, the BOP guards there?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And what was said, as you recall?
    - A Well, this actually was not as we were

Page 19

on or around what people refer to as the panic button near the door?

- A Yes.
- Q Do you recall whether there appeared to have been blood on the panic button or was it just near the panic button?
- A Just near the panic button. One of the -- one of the unfortunate things about -- about Luminol is you don't get good or accurate readings when you apply Luminol to metal, especially to stainless steel metal, which the panic button area was.

So we actually didn't -- to my recollection, I don't recall even spraying the actual button, itself. The blood that we saw stopped -- stopped near the button but did not make it quite all the way to the button, to the

- Q Did you form any impression yourself as to how or why blood may have been near the panic button?
- A I found it -- I found it -- it was troubling. My -- I asked a lot of questions at that time, you know.

We all discussed in -- amongst

leaving for the night. This was -- we were, at the time, taking a -- taking a break.

And Dr. Jordan and I were walking down a hall. And there were several guards in -- in a little guard's office. And their actual statements -- the actual statement that Dr. Jordan and I heard was, as I recall, said, "I don't give a damn who they are, this is -- this is our fucking turf," something along those lines.

And, you know, it was obviously directed at us, because at the time they looked - they looked up and just glared right at us, made no apologies. It was -- they had a threatening look on their face.

- Q Do you know the identity of the guard that made the comment?
- Q Mr. Rowland, let me show you two photographs that were part of what we identified as Exhibit 5A in Dr. Jordan's deposition.

Have you seen those photographs before?

- 23 Yes, I have.
  - Q And what do those photographs depict?
    - These were -- these are blow-ups of

Page 25

some Polaroid pictures that were supposed to have been taken by Bureau of Prisons staff, I believe Lieutenant Freeman.

Q As best you can recall, when did you first see these pictures?

A Long time after the death. When we actually got -- got these, it would have -- best I -- in fact, I believe the first time we saw them was on the night of December the 14th of '95. I think that's the first time we saw -- we saw the pictures was out there the night we performed the Luminol.

Q Let me really stretch your memory, but do you recall whether, when you first saw these pictures, the picture you saw showed -- and I'm cropping the lower, you know, inch or so of the picture.

Do you recall whether the picture you saw was in this form rather than one that showed the blood on the floor of the cell?

A I don't -- I don't recall at this -- that's been so long ago.

Q Do you recall ever having any discussions with Dr. Jordan or FBI agents or any other investigative authorities about this red

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 3 to your deposition. Are you familiar with that document?

A Yes, I am.

Q And what is that?

A It's a copy of the supplemental report that I -- that I typed that day after -- I actually typed it the next day -- from phone conversation I had with Dr. Bill Gormley of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology.

Q And as you understood it, what is his role in the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology?

A With Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, one, he is a forensic pathologist, and they do the majority of the military autopsies.

They also do evaluations or re-examine autopsies performed on, say, American citizens in other places around the world, things of that nature.

So they're kind of a -- a review place, and as well as their research. And, you know, very reputable place.

Q Does this memo, to the best of your knowledge, accurately reflect the substance of your telephone conversation with Dr. Gormley?

Page 23

stain on the floor here?

A Yeah. I recall the -- I recall that, you know, we discussed it at some point in time that we have -- we have blood here that's -- that's, you know, lighter, less density, it's smeared versus a lot of dripping blood, you know, heavier concentration of older dry blood. And, you know, discussing, you know, why would we have this, these areas, the difference in the blood.

Q Mr. Rowland, let me have you jump ahead in time to May of 1997. Did you have a conversation with Dr. Bill Gormley about the Trentadue matter?

A As far as the date, I'd have to look through here at my report, but I did have a conversation with Dr. Gormley. He did call me at one point in time.

Let's see. I'm sure I've got it here.

Q Here. Let me help you --

A Oh, good.

Q -- a little bit here.

A It would be faster if you've got it.

(Defendants' Exhibit Number 3 marked for identification purposes and made a part of the record)

A Yes, it does.

Q Did he initiate the call or did you?

A No, he initiated the call.

Q Did he explain to you why he was calling?

A Yes, he did. He originally was calling to talk to Dr. Jordan. Dr. Jordan was doing an autopsy at the time.

And I've never talked to Dr. Gormley before, but as often happens, when physicians call in, they go through me if the pathologists they're trying to contact aren't available. And if it's something that I can handle, then it stops with me. If not, it will go on to that pathologist. So that's how he ended up being on the phone with me.

Q And did you pass the information that he provided to you on to Dr. Jordan?

A Yes, I did.

Q In your conversation with Dr. Gormley, did he express any opinion to you as to whether Trentadue had been assaulted?

A Well, he said that -- he said that at the time that he certainly understood why we were very suspicious about it, that he certainly did

Page 29

have a lot of trauma, and that he agreed with the fact that, you know, we had -- we had the death ruled at that time as undetermined on the manner of death, and that he agreed it was suspicious.

Q Your memo says, "Their conclusions was that the manner of death as unknown was correct and that they believe the deceased was assaulted."

A Yeah, I guess that -- yeah.

Q So that reflects something --

A Yeah, that at some point in time he had been assaulted. Whether or not it was the cause of death, it certainly looked like he had been assaulted at some point in time.

Q Did the two of you discuss the Dateline program that had aired the preceding month?

A Yes, we did.

Q In fact, you were interviewed on camera for that program, were you not?

A Yes

Q What did Dr. Gormley say to you about that? Had he seen the program, as you understood?

A Yes. He said that after seeing the -the Dateline show, that he was -- that he was

know, that he'd had two different meetings with the people from the civil rights division and that they seemed interested in only having him testify that it's possible that Kenneth Trentadue committed suicide.

And I remember his comment very well. He said, you know, in this business, we deal with probabilities. Anything is always possible, but we deal with probabilities.

And that he seemed concerned that all they wanted him to do is just simply state for the grand jury that it was possible that he could have committed suicide.

Q As best you can recall, was there anything that you and Dr. Gormley discussed that you didn't memorialize in this file memorandum?

A No. I think I did a pretty good job of memorializing it.

Q Mr. Rowland, later in 1997, in August, did you have an occasion or occasions to interview a former FTC inmate by the name of George Wade?

A Yes, I did.

Q As best you can recall, how and when did you first have any communication with Mr.

Page 27

even more convinced that it appeared that he might have -- you know, that he was murdered.

But, you know, you know, he said, again, it's, you know -- you can be up here but, you know, you have to defer to the people who actually were there and did it. But it certainly -- in the court of public opinion, it looks like a murder.

Q You said you didn't particularly know Gormley, but did he, in your conversation with him, appear to be reasonable and rational?

A Oh, absolutely. I only knew him prior to this by reputation only. And he has a very good reputation in the forensic -- forensic pathology field.

Q Was it your understanding from talking to Dr. Gormley that Dr. Gormley either had been asked or had testified before the federal grand jury?

A He had been asked -- that he had been asked to testify but, no, that he had not testified at that time.

Q Did he say anything to you as to what he had been asked to testify about?

A He -- he told me that he was -- you

Wade?

A I just ran across that. Just a moment. August 20th, 1997, is when I wrote my memo, but --

Q Tell you what, before you get to that one, let me show you another document. You pulled that document from your case file; is that correct?

A Yes, I did, uh-huh.

(Defendants' Exhibit Number 4 marked for identification purposes and made a part of the record)

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Let me show you first of all what we've marked as Exhibit 4 for this deposition.

Would you take a look at that, please.

A Okay. Didn't dig far enough.

Q We'll get to August 20 in just a minute.

A Okay. Fine. If it's easier, I'll just let you hand me the documents like we would in court. I could spend all day here going through these things.

Q Are you familiar with Exhibit 4?

25 A Yes, sir.

Page 33

. Q What is this exhibit?

A It's just a -- a memo reflecting the fact that I'd gotten a call from Jesse Trentadue and that he wanted me to talk -- talk with a man who used to be an inmate at the Federal Transfer Center, and that he wanted to set up a three-way call for us to talk to the man.

Q And this memo was prepared by you; is that correct?

A Yes, it was.

Q And signed by you?

A Yes.

Q And does that accurately reflect the conversation that you had with Jesse Trentadue and George Wade on the morning of August 8, 1997?

A Yes.

Q In general, what did Mr. Wade say in the course of your conversation with him?

A Basically he -- he said he had just -- he'd just learned about the death and the death investigation and that he -- he said he was -- he was working in the laundry room that morning and that he said that -- that a guard came in and told another inmate to clean up some batons and flashlights and gloves and things that had blood

1 (Defendants' Exhibit Number 5 marked 2 for identification purposes and made a 3 part of the record) 4 (By Mr. Nelon) Let me show you a

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Let me show you a document we've marked as Exhibit 5 that's dated August 20.

Do you recognize that document?

A Yes, I do.

Q And what is Exhibit 5?

A It is a memo that memorializes the phone call and subsequent face-to-face meeting with this former inmate, George Brett Wade, down at the law offices of Scott Adams.

Q Did you personally go to Mr. Adams' office to meet Mr. Wade?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did anyone accompany you?

A I was met there -- I met that morning with -- with Mr. Wade and Larry Andrews from the Oklahoma County District Attorney's office met me over there and I introduced them so that Mr. Andrews could interview Mr. Wade.

Q And if I'm adding correctly, you met with Mr. Wade for -- let's see here -- what, an hour and 15 minutes and then another hour and a

Page 31

all over them, and that the guard told him they needed to keep their mouth shut. And that, you know, he — he had found out about this.

He was the one that sought out Mr.

Trentadue and then subsequently wanted to let us know in his opinion what happened that day.

Q Did you form any impression about Mr. Wade from your conversation with him on the phone?

A No, other than he appeared to be sincere, that he didn't seem to be seeking out anything from -- from us.

But I, you know, told him that he needed to talk to the proper authorities, which would be the district attorney's office at that point in time.

Q Did you have a subsequent communication with Mr. Wade?

A Yes, I did.

Q And as best you can recall, when did that occur?

A August 20th, 1997. Oh, sorry. August 13th. This was the 20th before I got it written up.

1 half that afternoon?

A Yes.

Q So ---

A I wasn't present in the room the whole time, but a good portion of that time. But part -- you know, most of it was primarily for Mr. Andrews to -- to question him.

Q And so for some two, two and a half hours or something like that, that either you or Mr. Andrews or both questioned Mr. Wade; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Did Mr. Wade reiterate what he had told you on the phone earlier that month?

A Yes, he did.

Q Now that you have had this opportunity to see Mr. Wade face to face and talk with him, did you form any impression about his credibility?

A At that time, you know, he seemed to be -- seemed to be truthful, didn't embellish on things. And just -- he seemed sincere and -- and at the time truthful to what he was saying, you know, to what -- to what he saw that day.

Q The middle paragraph of this memo makes

Page 37

reference to handcuffs the guards used and then a reference to plastic ties that the SORT officers used.

Can you summarize for us what Mr. Wade was saying about handcuffs or plastic ties?

A We were trying to -- trying to determine what -- what kind of restraint devices or something that might could make the -- the cuts that we saw on the neck of Mr. Trentadue. And that's why the -- the talk about the plastic ties, the plastic -- what we call plastic handcuffs, for lack of a better term.

And so we had gathered some -- some. samples from the Oklahoma City police emergency response team and some that they had seen out there before because they had done training out there.

And Mr. Wade pointed out what he believed to be some of the cuffs that were -- you know, that were used out there which I relate as a type of plastic tie out there that they use out there.

Q When you say "use out there," are you talking about at the Federal Transfer Center?

A At the transfer center, yes.

for a -- for probably a very small period of the
 time. Most of the time it was just either myself
 and Mr. Andrews or just Mr. Andrews and Mr. Wade.
 O And then after that meeting, you

Q And then after that meeting, you prepared this memorandum a few days later; is that correct?

A Yes, I did.

Q And as best you know, this memorandum accurately reflects what went on in that second meeting or the second communication with Mr. Wade?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Rowland, at our request, did you prepare answers to certain Interrogatories that were served on you in connection with litigation over the GQ article in December of '97?

A Yes.
(Defendants' Exhibit Number 6 marked for identification purposes and made a part of the record)

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Let me hand you what we've marked as Exhibit 6. Are you familiar with this document?

24 A Yes, I am.

Q And are these your Interrogatory

Page 35

Q Mr. Rowland, let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 5B in Dr. Jordan's deposition which is a photograph and an enlargement of that photograph.

Have you seen these photos before?

A Yes, I have.

Q Let me invite your attention -- and I think Dr. Jordan described it as sort of a horizontal mark, and then there's some vertical marks.

Did you ever form any impression or conclusion yourself whether those vertical marks might be the kind of mark that you would see if a plastic tie were used?

A Yes, I did form an opinion that it's -- it was possible that -- I mean, that -- that you couldn't rule that out as far as the pattern was a similar pattern to what was used.

Q And these are photographs of the body of Kenneth Trentadue?

A Yes, they are.

Q Then after your conversation with Mr. Wade, Mr. Andrews -- was Scott Adams present during the whole time or --

A No. He was -- he was -- he was present

1 answers?

A Yes, they are.

Q And that's your signature on page 4?

4 A Yes.

Q Also on the last page?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Rowland, if I were to take the time in this deposition to go through and read you each of these questions that are in an Interrogatory form here, would your answer be the

same as what you swore under oath in this written document?

A Yes.

Q In August of 1998, did you have the pleasure of being interviewed by a couple of lawyers from the Department of Justice conducting an investigation into the Trentadue matter?

A You may have to be more specific than that. I talked to a lot of lawyers over the years with this. Let's see. August of 1998?

Q And in particular August 10 and I believe 11?

A Which lawyers?

Q I believe -- I don't know if I'm pronouncing it correctly -- Beaushamp or

Page 41

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Beauchamp? 1 A Are you talking about from the Office 2 of Inspector General? 3 Q Yes. 4 Okay. Larry Beachum? 5 Α Beachum, is that how it's pronounced? 6 Q And Carlos Stefano (sic)? 7 8 Q Yes. 9 I don't know if they're lawyers or not. A I know Carlos is not, but, yes, the investigators 10 for the Office of Inspector General, yes, I did. 11 Many, many occasions. 12 Q And did they take your sworn testimony? 13 Oh, yeah, over those -- those two days, 14 A 15 yes. (Defendants' Exhibit Number 7 marked 16 for identification purposes and made a 17 part of the record) 18 (By Mr. Nelon) Let me show you what 19 we've marked as Exhibit 7 which is not a complete 20

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Mr. Rowland, as best you can recall, when was the first time that you were aware that Special Agent Tom Linn was playing some role in the investigation of the Trentadue death?

A I believe it was in December of 19 -- December of 1995 when -- around the 14th of December.

Q In fact, would it be a fair statement that the first time that Mr. Linn made an appearance in the Trentadue scene, at least, was when you went out to the FTC to Luminol the cell?

A Yes.

Q Had all of your prior contact with the FBI up to that point been with Special Agent Jenkins or one of his supervisors?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Rowland, do you have any personal knowledge and recollection of any circumstance where Special Agent Linn brought some notes of an interview with an inmate named Cornell Thornton to the medical examiner's office?

A I seem to recall that he did, yes.

Q Did he bring those to you or did you look at those notes or have any conversation with

Page 39

investigators from the OIG's office, were you placed under oath and sworn to tell the truth?

transcript but are excerpts that we've used for

Do you recognize this document?

Q And before you visited with these

other purposes in the litigation.

A Yes, I was.

Q And to the best of your knowledge, the answers that you gave to the questions posed by those investigators were truthful answers?

A Yes.

A Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q If I were to ask you the same questions that Mr. Beachum and Mr. Capano asked you about the Trentadue matter, would your answers be the same?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: As best as my memory would allow me at this point, yes.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Recognizing, certainly, that --

A Many years have passed.

Q -- you might not choose the precise words, but the substance of the answers would be the same as what you told --

A Yes, they would.

Q -- the investigators?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

1 Agent Linn about it?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q And best you can recall, what happened and what was the reason that Agent Linn was bringing those notes to you?

A I believe it was -- I may be way off here. I believe that Mr. Thornton had been interviewed and stated something to the effect that he had seen Mr. Trentadue jumping -- or making noise, you know, like he was falling or, you know, jumping off of the sink onto the floor during the night.

Q Why would that information be of interest to the medical examiner?

A Well, trying to explain the types of injuries, how he might have gotten injuries to his head from falling off the sink.

Q As best you can recall, did Special Agent Linn make any representation to you about the significance of this information?

A Well, I know that, yeah, that he -that he thought it would be important for us to
know that they -- that they had an inmate who was
making -- you know, that allegedly was in a cell
that would have had -- you know, had a line of

sight that he could actually see what was going on.

I mean, it was -- it was relevant to the fact that from the beginning I'd been asking people, you know, to interview all of these inmates that were in the -- in the surrounding cells at the time to see what their stories were, you know.

From the day of the death I was telling the FBI they needed to do that. It was many months later, I understand, before it was done, but --

Q Did Agent Linn ever bring you notes from any other inmate who purportedly knew what had gone on the night that Trentadue died?

A Not that I recall.

Q So if the FBI interviewed other inmates and they gave other stories, he didn't bring those to the medical examiner's office so far as you know?

A Not that I recall.

Q Do you have any personal knowledge about the involvement of a Texas Ranger in the Trentadue investigation?

A Yes.

Dr. Jordan's experience, you know, we'd never had a death quite like this one.

And, you know, it would be very hard to conceive of anybody having that many deaths like this, being as unusual and that, you know -- this Ranger was not involved in this death investigation, had not been involved in it from the beginning.

It's easy for lots of people to have opinions when they weren't there, you know. And it was not going to be of anything -- I don't need a Texas Ranger to try to explain to me or try to help me understand why it's possible. We understand things are possible.

What we were trying to get at that point is the facts as to what actually had transpired, you know, meaning interview results, you know, are there allegations trying to find scientific explanation as to what might have happened, not somebody recounting cases they've worked. It's not got a lot of relevance.

Q Was it your impression from what Agent Linn said to you that the purpose of the Texas Ranger was to try to persuade you it was a suicide?

Page 43

Q And what's your recollection as to who this Ranger is and what he was supposed to do?

A He was someone that Agent Linn had spoken to and had shown pictures of Trentadue to him, and that he allegedly told Agent Linn that he'd seen, you know, as I recall, between 25 and 35 deaths just like this in the Texas state prison system.

Q Is that what Agent Linn told you --

A Yes.

Q -- that the Ranger would say?

A Yes, told Dr. Jordan and I, as I recall.

Q Did Agent Linn try to arrange a meeting with the Texas Ranger?

A He wanted to set up a meeting with us to have us hear what this Ranger had to say.

Q Did you have any meeting with this Texas Ranger?

A No.

Q Why not?

A Because at that point in time, you

know, our impression was that, you know, if he's seen 25 or 35 of these, you missed some homicides along the way, because between my experience and

\_\_\_\_\_

A Yes.

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Mr. Rowland, do you have any personal knowledge and recollection about an effort by Special Agent Linn to bring grand jury testimony to Dr. Jordan?

A Yes.

Q Did you have any personal role or involvement in that situation?

A Yes.

Q Would you explain what happened.

A Well, I received a call from Special Agent Linn that he had gotten permission -- or they had gotten clearance for Dr. Jordan to be exempted or, what, 6E -- Federal Rule 6E or something along that line.

Anyway, that they would waive it and allow Dr. Jordan to be able to look at the grand jury transcripts of all the people that had testified so that he could see what these statements had been and see if that would help him make his decision as to -- as to what the manner of death would be.

And at that point in time, I mentioned

Page 45

Page 49

1 2

it to Dr. Jordan. He said he obviously didn't have time to go through all of the -- through, you know, what he figured would probably be hundreds, if not thousands of pages of grand jury transcripts when we were as busy as we were at that time, and that he advised me to contact our attorney, Patrick Crawley at the attorney general's office at that time, and let him know about it, see what he had to say, which I did.

I contacted Mr. Crawley, and it was his opinion that we would not be receiving those and that, in fact, he was suspicious himself.

He told me that he -- he was concerned about that this would actually, if anything, be more of a silencing tool on Dr. Jordan because that if he read those transcripts, he wouldn't be able to publicly state that I believe it is this because I've reviewed these transcripts and I find that these things, these things, you know, from his testimony, because he would not be allowed, under the federal rules, to divulge what he read, what he saw.

And so it was his opinion that we would not be receiving those documents and that he was going to contact the -- the US Attorney's office had in the fall of 1997.

This is a few months after you were on Dateline before the Macy and the OIG investigations were underway. And let's just talk more specifically about November of '97 when the GQ article that we're litigating over was published.

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

- Q (By Mr. Nelon) As best you can, put yourself back in the mind set you had at that time knowing what you did --
  - A Uh-huh.
- Q -- at that time. Did you believe at that time that Trentadue had been beaten or had been in a fight or an altercation of some kind?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q (By Mr. Nelon) Did you believe, in the fall of 1997, that it was possible that he had been murdered?
  - A Yes.
- Q At that time, did you believe that the federal government had lied to the medical

Page 47

from the civil rights division and make sure that they understood we didn't want these transcripts.

- Q To your knowledge, did Agent Linn identify which witnesses it was whose testimony he wanted Dr. Jordan to review?
- A I -- I recall that some of them, I believe, were from -- from guards, from inmates, things like that.

I don't recall specifically who all that -- that he -- that he said would be in it. I don't recall right now.

- Q Was it your impression or understanding that it would be excerpts of testimony that someone had selected for Dr. Jordan to review?
- A Yeah. I know it wasn't going to be every single person that had testified in front of the grand jury. I do recall it was -- it was not that.
- Q Did Agent Linn say anything to you with respect to whether this grand jury testimony, were it reviewed by Dr. Jordan, would persuade him that it was a suicide?
  - A He felt like it would.
- Q Mr. Rowland, as best you can, if you can put yourself back into the mind set that you

examiner's office and made misrepresentations to your office about the Trentadue investigation?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

- Q (By Mr. Nelon) Did you believe, in the fall of 1997, that the government had engaged in a cover-up?
- MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: There were -- I believe that there were certain things that certainly had been covered up.

MR. NELON: One moment.

- Q (By Mr. Nelon) In connection with her investigation and writing of what ultimately turned out to be the article in GQ Magazine in the December '97 issue, Cover-up in Cell 709A, did Mary Fischer talk with you about your knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the Trentadue investigation?
- 22 A Yes, she did.
- Q Did you read the article when it was published?
  - A Yes, I did.

б

- Q And was the article an accurate representation of the information you conveyed to Ms. Fischer?
  - A Yes, it was.

- Q Why did you agree to talk to Ms. Fischer and give her information about an ongoing investigation?
- A Because at the time we felt we weren't getting the type of cooperation, the type of information sharing from the federal government, specifically the -- the FBI.

When we are involved in an investigation, especially the FBI became involved in this investigation at our request, the federal grand jury had convened at our request, yet essentially all of -- the only information we had gotten was it's a suicide, trust us, you know, but that's what we believe it is.

And the information -- you know, evidence was not being presented to us or information shared in the way that it's customarily shared on any other investigations that we're involved with.

And we, in fact, had been lied to and lied about. And that we felt we -- because, you

it actually furthered and facilitated the completion of the investigation?

A Absolutely.

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Did you believe you had accomplished your purpose by going to the media?

MR. COOK: Same objection.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. NELON: No further questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. COOK:

- Q Mr. Rowland, is it true that you agree with the Oklahoma City Police Department that Kenneth Trentadue was alone in his cell for the 17 hours preceding his death?
  - A Based on the information they were given, yes, I believe their findings.
- Q And, in fact, there is no evidence to suggest or substantiate that Kenneth Trentadue's death should be classified as anything other than a suicide; isn't that correct?
  - A At this time, yes.
  - Q And isn't it also true that you did not receive any evidence that any correctional

Page 51

know, things had seemed to be stalled and that we weren't getting the information, it was time to -- to utilize the media.

We were constantly having media inquiry and, you know, at some point you have to have some accountability because -- you know, as to what is going on or as to why is it taking so long or, you know, when -- when will this thing be resolved.

We felt it was time that, in doing this interview, would help put the public pressure on the powers that be to either, one, have -- have this information be brought to us to get us the cooperation and assistance that we needed; or, two, put the pressure on other people to be involved.

We were getting -- we were getting some inquiry from Congressional people and talk that they were going to do something, but at that point, nothing official had appeared to have started, and so we wanted to -- to basically let the public know, hey, we're doing all that we can, but we're frustrated.

Q Do you believe that by going public, for example, in articles like the one in GQ, that

officer attacked Trentadue at the Federal Transfer Center at any time while he was there?

- A I don't know that at any point in time he was there? I don't know the answer to that.
- Q Let me read you some testimony that you gave in the Trentadue matter in November of 2000.
  - A Okay.
- Q "And isn't it also true that you did not receive any evidence that any correctional officers attacked Trentadue at the Federal Transfer Center at any point in time while he was there; isn't that correct?

"ANSWER: Any evidence, correct."

- A Yeah, evidence. Okay. I didn't hear that the first time, but as I clarified in my answer there, I said evidence, yes. There wasn't any evidence presented to me.
  - Q Okay. So you --
- A Doesn't mean -- that just means there was no evidence.
- Q So you still agree with the testimony that you gave back in November of 2000 that I just read to you?
- 24 A Yes.
  - O You talked a little bit earlier about

Page 53

Page 57

Page 54

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to the cell and conducting Luminol tests.

A Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Are you a blood spatter expert?

A As far as a court expert, no. I've been trained in it.

Q When you encounter blood spatter evidence at a death scene, do you normally defer to some other blood spatter expert to interpret that evidence?

A Depends on -- depends on what -- what the issue is at hand. There are certain things that -- that I encounter during my daily -- you know, my regular work that I don't need an expert for interpretation of it.

There are some basic things that I'm quite capable of making those determinations. But in other complicated issues of reconstruction and as far as court testimony on it, yes, I defer that to an expert.

O Would you agree that the blood spatter evidence that was available from the Trentadue death scene was such that it needed a blood spatter expert other than yourself to look at and interpret?

A Yes.

taken, the complete cell, every part of the cell, had that -- had that have been done properly by trained personnel that day, we would have known 3 then, we would know now. It would be easy to 4 5 reconstruct.

So as far as incontrovertible in this case, I don't believe it can be -- every question can be answered because it was not properly done.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) Would it be a fair statement that any investigation by the best expert in the world conducted in 1998 would, by definition, be compromised because the cell had been cleaned back in 1995?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It would be -- it would be compromised.

Q (By Mr. Nelon) When you talk about no evidence of a manner of death other than suicide, no evidence that there was somebody else in the cell, are you just relying on whatever information investigators chose to bring to you and the medical examiner to support the conclusion with respect to manner of death?

A It's with regard to the available

Page 55

Q Okay. And was that done in this case?

A As best that they could. I mean, not all the evidence was able to be analyzed by an expert.

Q Okay.

It wasn't properly documented.

MR. COOK: I object to the last part as non-responsive, and that's all the questions I have.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NELON:

Q Mr. Rowland, from your experience, could investigators, homicide detectives or blood spatter experts or whatever arrive at incontrovertible conclusions about what happened in Trentadue's cell during the night of August 21 based on an investigation years later?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: To have a complete understanding and be able to, you said incontrovertible, it would have been -- it would be possible in this case had everything been -i.e., the cell, the scene of the death had been properly documented, photographed, measurements information and evidence that was available to them and what was made available to us, yes. It's based on -- based on what is available at the time.

And everyone has opinions and you have areas that you -- that you have questions about, but when you're -- you know, you sometimes reach -- reach your -- just in a lot of deaths, you know, as far as the manner of death, you may not always have all of the answers or fully understand exactly what went on, just as we can't get inside somebody's mind when they're dead to know what was -- what was their intent, what was going through their mind.

But we make our opinions based on the available evidence, what does the scene, what does the body, what does the history tell us.

And so you sometimes, -- just because you say it's based on the available evidence doesn't mean you have all the answers, doesn't mean you know the answer to every question, but you are making an opinion based on the available evidence, the totality of the evidence in that case.

O And so the ultimate conclusion or

able 10:14 18:12 45:19 46:17 55:3,21 absolutely 27:12 52:3 access 9:23 10:17,19,21 10:24 14:25 accompany 32:17 accomplished 52:7 accountability 51:6 accurate 19:9 50:1 accurately 24:24 30:13 36:9 accused 11:6 acting 8:23 9:20 10:11 11:18 action 60:16 actual 17:12 19:15 21:5 21:6 Adams 32:13,14 35:23 adding 32:23 adjourned 59:7 Advance 1:6.13 2:6 advice 7:12 advised 12:5,11 46:6 afternoon 33:1 agencies 6:3 Agent 12:11 13:7 14:14 15:5 16:1 40:3,15,20 41:1,4,19 42:13 43:3 43:5,9,14 44:22 45:6 45:14 47:3,19 58:12 58:17 agents 18:9 22:24 ago 15:4 22:22 agree 50:5 52:13 53:21 54:20 agreed 4:2,10 26:1,4 ahead 9:13 15:16 23:10 aired 26:16 allegations 44:18 allegedly 41:24 43:5 allow 39:15 45:19 allowed 10:1 46:21 allows 16:20 altercation 48:16 American 24:17 analyzed 55:3 Andrews 32:19,22 33:7 33:10 35:23 36:3,3 answer 14:5 37:10 53:4 53:13,16 57:21 answered 56:8 answers 36:14 37:1 39:5,6,10,20 57:10 57:20 58:5 anybody 14:25 44:4 anything's 11:5 Anyway 45:18

apologies 21:14 appear 17:16 18:25 27:11 appearance 40:11 appeared 19:4 27:1 31:10 51:20 apply 19:10 applying 18:24 approximately 7:1 20:8 area 15:15 18:12 19:12 20:11 areas 18:4 23:9 57:6 Armed 24:10,12,13 arrange 43:14 arrival 8:4 arrive 8:1 55:14 article 36:16 48:6 49:17,23 50:1 articles 51:25 asked 8:20 9:11 10:16 10:19 17:18 19:23 27:18,20,21,24 39:9 asking 7:9 42:4 assaulted 12:2,14 25:22 26:8,12,14 assess 9:1.15 assessment 8:8 assigned 6:6 assistance 51:14 assisted 18:2 assured 10:20 12:19 14:1 attacked 53:1,10 attention 6:22 15:16 35:7 attorney 2:3 46:7,7 60:14 attorneys 2:6 4:3,11 attorney's 31:15 32:20 46:25 attributed 18:15 August 6:19,22 7:13 9:25 12:22 28:19 29:3,18 30:15 31:22 31:22 32:6 37:14,20 37:21 55:16 authorities 22:25 31:14 authority 14:23 autopsies 24:15,17 autopsy 25:8 available 25:12 54:21 56:25 57:1,2,3,16,19

57:22 58:1

awhile 16:6

aware 7:11 40:3

AXLAND 1:13

В back 8:3 11:17 47:25 48:11 53:22 56:13 Bank 2:7 based 9:4 52:17 55:17 57:3,3,15,19,22 58:1 basic 54:15 basically 5:25 6:5 8:9 30:19 51:21 batons 30:24 Beachum 38:5,6 39:9 beaten 48:15 Beauchamp 38:1 Beaushamp 37:25 beginning 42:4 44:8 behalf 1:17 4:5 believe 15:12 18:14 22:2,8 26:7 37:22,24 40:6 41:6,7 46:17 47:7 48:14,20,24 49:6,11 50:18 51:24 52:6,18 56:7 believed 34:19 best 13:12 14:7,13 15:11 22:4,7 24:23 28:14.24 31:20 36:8 39:4,14 40:1 41:3,18 47:24 48:10 55:2 56:10 better 34:12 Bill 23:12 24:9 bit 23:21 53:25 blood 10:6 16:17,18,19 16:21,21 17:2,5,7,7 17:13 18:5,8,11,25 19:5,15,20 20:2,5 22:20 23:4,6,7,9 30:25 54:3,6,8,20,22 55:13 58:13,14 blow-ups 21:25 body 8:14 9:13 10:5,7 11:22,24 35:19 57:17 BOP 14:9 15:1 16:11 17:20 20:22 58:18 break 21:2 58:8,10 Brett 32:12 briefed 8:9 briefly 5:18 8:25 10:3 15:13 16:5 18:10 brightly 18:10 bring 40:24 42:13,18 45:6 56:22 bringing 41:5 Brockway 10:18 11:12 brought 40:20 51:13 **BRYAN 1:9** bunk 17:5,6,10 20:9

17:23 22:2 business 28:7 busy 46:5 button 19:2,5,6,7,12,15 19:16,17,21 20:3,11 C C 1:12 2:1 60:1,1 call 3:15,16 7:2 9:6 11:4 12:4,5,9 23:16 25:2,3,11 30:3,7 32:11 34:11 45:13 called 12:10 16:16 calling 9:1 10:18 12:6 25:5.6 camera 26:18 capable 54:16 Capano 39:9 Carlos 38:7,10 Carter 8:23,25 9:20 10:11,15 11:19 12:1 12:6 case 29:7 55:1,23 56:7 57:24 cases 44:20 Caudill 1:25 4:7 60:6 60:20 cause 10:13 26:12 cell 8:17,18 9:11,23 10:2,21 13:2,10,18 14:9,25 15:2,7,9,19 16:9,10,14,24 17:1,3 17:11,13 18:16 20:14 20:20 22:20 40:12 41:24 49:18 52:15 54:1 55:16,24 56:1,1 56:12,21 58:15 cells 12:16 42:7 center 2:7 6:24 7:7,15 7:25 9:24 11:11,18 13:2 20:17 30:6 34:24,25 53:2,11 central 5:16 6:1 certain 36:14 49:12 54:11 certainly 25:24,25 26:13 27:6 39:16 49:12 Certificate 3:7 Certified 4:7 certify 60:7 changes 59:3 charge 5:23 chief 5:15,20 6:15,18 choose 39:19 chose 56:22 Christmas 18:16 circumstance 40:19

circumstances 12:12 49:20 citizens 24:17 City 1:17 2:4.7 4:6 6:25 14:23 16:2,8 34:14 52:14 60:13 civil 28:2 47:1 CIV-98-1628-L 1:11 CIV-99-650-F 1:5 clarified 53:15 classified 52:21 clean 30:24 cleaned 13:19 14:9 15:1 16:22 17:14 18:9.13 56:13 cleaning 18:9 clear 9:2 10:16 11:9 clearance 45:15 collections 17:12 coming 6:17 comment 20:21 21:17 28:6 committed 28:5,13 communication 28:25 31:17 36:10 complete 38:20 55:20 56:1 completion 52:2 complicated 54:17 compromised 56:12,17 conceive 44:4 concentration 23:7 concerned 28:10 46:13 concerns 8:15 conclusion 35:12 56:24 57:25 conclusions 26:5 55:15 Conde 1:6,13 2:6 condition 10:5 conduct 12:18 conducted 14:4 56:11 conducting 37:16 54:1 configurations 20:10 confusion 8:11 Congressional 51:18 connection 36:15 49:15 constantly 51:4 contact 25:12 40:14 46:6.25 contacted 8:7 12:1 46:10 CONTENTS 3:1 continuing 6:2 control 5:25 convened 50:15 conversation 10:12 11:18 23:12,16 24:9 24:25 25:20 27:10

Bureau 13:19 14:4

30:14,18 31:8 35:22 40:25 conversations 14:16 conveyed 50:2 convinced 27:1 Cook 2:2 3:5 14:5,10 39:12,24 45:2 48:8 48:17 49:3,9 52:4,8 52:12 55:7,18 56:14 58:25 cooperation 50:9 51:14 copy 24:6 Cornell 40:21 correct 6:19 7:20 11:12 11:13 20:18 26:6 29:8 30:9 33:11 36:6 52:22 53:12,13 60:11 correctional 52:25 53:9 corrections 59:4 correctly 32:23 37:25 County 14:24 32:20 60:4 couple 13:22 37:15 58:11 course 10:17 30:18 court 1:1 27:7 29:22 54:4,18 covered 49:13 cover-up 49;8,18 Crawley 46:7,10 credibility 33:19 cropping 22:16 Cross-Examination 3:5 52:11 CRR 1:25 60:20 CSR 1:25 60:6,20,21 cuffs 34:19 customarily 50:22 cut 58:19 cuts 10:9 34:9 58:21 D

daily 54:12 damn 21:8 dark 16:23 date 15:18 23:14 dated 32:5

Dateline 26:15,25 48:3 day 13:9 24:7,8 29:22

31:6 33:24 42:9 56:3 60:18

days 36:5 38:14 DA's 14:24

dead 57:12 deal 28:7.9 death 5:21,23 6:1,8,24

7:7,14 12:3,12,14 13:14,23 22:6 26:2,4

26:6,13 30:20,20 40:5 42:9 44:2,6 45:24 52:16,21 54:7 54:22 55:24 56:19,24 57:9 58:3

deaths 7:12 43:7 44:4 57:8

deceased 26:7 **December 1:18 4:6** 6:12 15:17 16:24 22:9 36:16 40:6,7,8

49:18 60:13,18 decision 45:23

**Defendants** 1:8,15,17 2:5 3:10 4:5 5:6 23:23 29:10 32:1

36:18 38:16 defer 27:5 54:7,18

definition 56:12 density 23:5 Department 14:24 16:2

16:8 37:16 52:14 depends 54:10.10 depict 21:24 deposes 5:4

deposition 1:16 3:12,13 4:4,16 21:21 24:2

29:15 35:2 37:8 59:3 59:7 60:9,12 describe 5:19

described 8:13 35:8 description 18:19

detectives 55:13 determinations 54:16

determine 34:7 devices 34:7

died 11:10 42:15 difference 23:9

different 28:1 dig 29:17

Direct 3:4 5:9 directed 21:12

disclose 58:13,17,20

discuss 14:15 26:15 discussed 19:25 23:3

28:15

discussing 23:8 discussions 22:24

district 1:1,1 31:15 32:20

division 5:16 6:1 28:2 47:1

divulge 46:21 document 24:3 29:6,7

32:5,7 36:23 37:12 38:23

documented 55:6,25 documents 29:21 46:24 doing 25:7 51:10,22 **DONNELLY 1:9** 

door 19:2

Dr 15:25 21:3,6,21 22:24 23:12,16 24:9 24:25 25:7,7,9,18,20

26:21 27:17,17 28:15 35:2,8 43:12 44:1 45:7,15,19 46:1,15 47:5,14,21

dripping 23:6 dry 23:7 duly 5:3

duties 5:19 6:6 duty 12:17 d/b/a 1:6

E

E 2:1,1 60:1,1 earlier 33:14 53:25 easier 29:20 easy 44:9 56:4 education 6:2

effect 4:15 41:8 effort 45:6

eight 15:11

either 27:17 33:9 36:2 51:12 60:15

Elizabeth 1:25 4:7 60:6 60:20

embellish 33:21 emergency 34:14 employed 5:14 6:10 employees 5:24

encounter 54:6.12 ended 25:15 enforcement 6:3

engaged 49:7 enlargement 35:3

entails 6:7 enter 10:2

entity 9:7 11:7 Epstein 2:6 58:7

Eric 16:7 escorted 16:10

especially 19:11 50:13 essentially 50:16

estimate 20:12 evaluations 24:16

events 11:20

evidence 15:1 16:17 17:2,10 18:8 50:20 52:19,25 53:9,13,14

53:16,17,20 54:7,9 54:21 55:3 56:19,20

57:1.16,19,23,23 58:2

exactly 57:11

Examination 3:4,6 5:9 55:10

examiner 41:14 56:23 examiner's 5:16 6:11 40:22 42:19 49:1

example 51:25 excerpts 38:21 47:13 exempted 45:16 exhibit 3:12,13,14,16

3:17,19,20 5:6 21:21 23:23 24:2 29:10,14 29:24 30:1 32:1,5,9 35:2 36:18,22 38:16

38:20 EXHIBITS 3:10 experience 43:25 44:1

55:12 expert 54:3,4,8,13,19 54:23 55:4 56:11 experts 55:14

explain 25:4 41:15 44:12 45:12

explanation 10:12 44:19

express 25:21 expression 18:21 extremely 12:13

eye 17:8

F

F 60:1 face 21:15 33:17.17 face-to-face 32:11

facilitated 52:1 fact 7:24 13:23 17:18 18:21 22:8 26:2,18

30:3 40:9 42:4 46:12 50:24 52:19

facts 44:16 49:20 fair 18:19 40:9 56:9 fall 48:1,21 49:7

falling 41:10,17 familiar 24:3 29:24

36:22 far 7:24 20:8,10 23:14 29:17 35:17 42:19

54:4.18 56:6 57:9 faster 23:22

FBI 9:1,6 11:4 12:4,5,6 12:9,22 13:8,23 14:8 14:20 15:6 16:6

22:24 40:15 42:10,17 50:11,13 58:20

federal 6:24 7:7,11,14 7:25 9:23 11:10,17 13:1 20:17 27:18

30:5 34:24 45:16 46:21 48:25 50:10,14

53:1,10 feet 20:13

felt 47:23 50:8,25 51:10 field 27:15

fight 10:10 48:16 figure 7:25

figured 46:3 file 3:14,16,17 28:16 29:7

find 17:6 44:18 46:19 findings 52:18

Fine 29:20 first 5:3 6:23 7:17

12:25 13:4,6 22:5,8 22:10.14 28:25 29:13

40:2.10 53:15 Fischer 1:7,14 2:7

49:19 50:3,6

five 20:12 flashlights 30:25

floor 18:5 22:20 23:1 41:11

fluoresce 18:10 fluoresces 16:19

follows 5:5 force 4:15 Forces 24:10,12,13

foregoing 60:9 forensic 15:1,14 24:14 27:14.14

form 4:13 14:10 19:19 22:19 31:7 33:18 35:11,15 37:10 39:12 39:24 45:2 48:8,17

49:3,9 52:4 55:18 56:14

former 28:21 32:12 found 17:7 19:22,22 31:3 58:14,21

four 20:12 Fred 15:25 Freeman 22:3

front 47:16 frustrated 51:23

FTC 15:5,18 28:21 40:12 fucking 21:9

fully 57:10 58:6 further 4:10 9:16 52:10 furthered 52:1

 $\mathbf{G}$ 

gain 9:23 14:25 gaining 15:8 gathered 34:13 general 5:18 7:4 30:17 38:3,11

George 28:22 30:15 heading 7:6 inspect 15:19 43:12 45:7,15,19 lawyers 37:16,19,23 32:12 hear 43:17 53:14 Inspector 38:3,11 46:1,15 47:5,14,21 38:9 getting 50:9 51:2,17,17 heard 20:21 21:7 Institute 24:10,12,13 Jordan's 21:21 35:2 learn 13:17 Gillis 7:3 9:22 10:4 heavier 23:7 instructions 8:21 44:1 learned 6:23 8:4 13:21 11:16 help 20:7 23:19 44:13 intent 57:13 July 6:16 30:20 Gillis's 7:21 45:22 51:11 interest 41:14 jump 23:10 leaving 20:20 21:1 give 8:21 21:8 50:6 hereto 4:4,12 interested 28:3 60:15 jumping 41:9,11 lectures 6:2 given 3:20 52:18 hey 51:22 interpret 54:8,24 jury 27:19 28:12 45:7 left 17:13 glared 21:13 highly 11:3 interpretation 54:14 45:20 46:4 47:17,20 legal 6:4 gloves 30:25 history 57:17 Interrogatories 3:19 50:15 let's 13:4 23:18 32:24 go 7:25 9:13 13:9.23 homicide 12:3,15,19 36:14 Justice 37:16 37:20 48:4 14:17 15:12,18 16:15 55:13 Interrogatory 36:25 lied 48:25 50:24,25 25:11,14 32:14 37:8 K homicides 43:24 37:10 Lieutenant 16:1 22:3 46:2 horizontal 35:9 interview 13:24 28:21 keep 31:2 ligature 58:18,21 going 8:10,17,18,19 hour 32:25,25 32:22 40:21 42:5 Kenneth 11:15 28:4 lighter 23:5 9:11 11:5 14:2 15:5 hours 33:9 52:16 44:17 51:11 35:20 52:15,20 line 3:2,11 41:25 45:17 29:22 42:1 44:11 house 7:2 interviewed 26:18 Kerr 2:3 lines 21:10 46:25 47:15 51:7,19 housed 13:3,18 37:15 41:8 42:17 Kevin 1:16 4:4 5:2,13 Linn 1:3 2:3 16:1 40:3 51:24 52:7 54:1 housing 20:15 introduced 32:21 60:7 40:10,20 41:1.4.19 57:14 hundreds 46:4 investigate 9:2,3 12:23 kill 20:2,4 42:13 43:3,5,9,14 good 19:9 23:20 27:14 Hunt 12:11 kind 10:25 15:13 24:20 investigated 7:14 12:15 44:23 45:6,14 47:3 28:17 33:5 investigation 7:19 9:8 34:7 35:13 48:16 47:19 58:12,17 Gormley 3:15 23:12,16 9:10,19 12:19 14:3 knew 7:9 27:12 42:14 lit 18:16 24:9,25 25:9,20 identification 5:7 23:24 30:21 37:17 40:4 know 7:10,24 8:12,19 litigating 48:6 26:21 27:10,17,17 29:11 32:2 36:19 42:24 44:7 49:2,16 8:20 16:4,20 18:8,13 litigation 36:15 38:22 28:15 38:17 49:21 50:7,13,14 little 21:5 23:21 53:25 19:24 20:1,3,5,7 gotten 30:3 41:16 identified 11:11 21:20 52:2 55:17 56:10 21:11,16 22:16 23:3 Loftis 16:7 45:14,15 50:17 identify 47:4 investigations 6:1,9 23:5,6,8,8 24:21 26:2 long 6:10,14 18:11 22:6 government 48:25 49:7 identity 21:16 48:4 50:22 27:2,3,3,4,5,9 28:1,7 22:22 51:8 50:10 immediately 11:25 investigative 14:23 31:3,6,13 33:6,20,24 look 13:10 15:13 21:15 GQ 36:16 48:6 49:17 important 41:22 22:25 34:20 36:8 37:24 23:14 29:16 40:25 51:25 impression 19:19 31:7 investigator 5:15,20 38:9,10 41:10,11,21 45:19 54:23 grand 27:18 28:12 45:7 33:18 35:11 43:23 6:15,18 9:5 41:23,24,25 42:5,8 looked 10:2,9 11:24 45:19 46:4 47:17,20 44:22 47:12 investigators 5:21,24 42:20 43:6,23,23 12:12,13 21:12,13 50:15 inch 22:16 7:3 38:10 39:1,6,23 44:1,3,5,10,17,18 26:13 group 15:23 incontrovertible 55:15 55:13 56:22 46:3,8,19 47:15 looks 12:2 27:7 guard 21:16 30:23 31:1 55:22 56:6 invite 6:21 15:16 35:7 50:17,19 51:1,5,6,8 lot 6:7 8:11 19:23 23:6 guards 20:22,22 21:4 INDEX 3:10 involved 7:18 44:6,7 51:22 53:3,4 54:13 26:1 37:19 44:21 34:1 47:7 indicate 59:4 50:12,13,23 51:16 56:4 57:7,9,13,21 57:8 guard's 21:5 inflicted 11:1 involvement 42:23 58:5,5,19 59:2 lots 44:9 58:4 guess 11:9 26:9 inform 7:5 45:10 knowing 48:12 lower 22:16 information 25:17 isolate 10:15 knowledge 9:22 14:22 Luminol 16:9,16,19 H 41:13,20 50:2,6,10 isolates 11:1 24:24 39:4 40:19 17:25 18:17,24 19:9 hair 17:2 50:16,19,21 51:2,13 issue 49:18 54:11 42:22 45:5 47:3 19:10 22:12 40:12 half 33:1,8 52:17 56:22 57:1 issues 54:17 49:20 54:1 hall 21:4 informed 14:8 i.e 55:24 known 56:3 Luminolling 17:1 hand 29:21 36:21 54:11 initiate 25:2 Kuhlman 16:1 60:17 J initiated 25:3 M handcuffs 34:1,5,12  $\mathbf{L}$ injuries 8:14 10:13 Jeff 13:7 14:13,14 15:5 Macy 48:3 handle 7:11 25:13 41:16.16 16:5 L 4:1 Magazine 1:6,14 2:6 handles 6:2 inmate 7:8,12 10:21 Jenkins 13:7 14:14,14 lab 58:20 49:17 happened 11:19 31:6 12:15 28:21 30:5,24 15:6 16:5 40:16 lacerations 10:8 major 10:10 41:3 44:20 45:12 32:12 40:21 41:23 Jesse 1:12 30:3,14 lack 34:12 majority 24:15 55:15 42:14 job 28:17 Lane 16:6 making 41:10,24 54:16 happens 25:10 inmates 10:17,24 42:6 Johnny 16:1 large 10:8 57:22 hard 44:3 42:17 47:7 Jon 2:6 Larry 32:19 38:5 man 12:2,13 30:4,7 Havnes 16:7 inquiry 51:4,18 Jordan 15:25 21:3,7 laundry 30:22 manner 26:3,6 45:24 head 10:8 41:17 inside 17:5 57:12 22:24 25:7,7,18 35:8 law 2:3,6 6:3 32:13 56:19,24 57:9

**Marie 8:23** mark 35:9.13 marked 5:7 23:23 24:2 29:10.14 32:1,5 35:2 36:18,22 38:16,20 marks 35:10,12 Mary 1:7,14 2:6 49:19 match 58:14 matching 58:21 matter 23:13 37:17 39:10 53:6 Max 16:7 mean 35:16 42:3 53:19 55:2 57:20,21 meaning 44:17 means 53:19 measurements 55:25 media 51:3,4 52:7 medical 5:16 6:3,11 13:9 40:22 41:14 42:19 48:25 56:23 meet 9:14 32:15 meeting 3:18 32:11 36:4,10 43:14,16,18 meetings 28:1 memo 3:14,16,17 24:23 26:5 29:3 30:2,8 32:10 33:25 memorandum 28:16 36:5,8 memorialize 28:16 memorializes 32:10 memorializing 28:18 memory 22:13 39:14 mentioned 15:4 45:25 met 15:25 32:18,18,20 32:23 metal 19:10.11 20:9 Michael 11:15 middle 33:25 military 24:15 mind 47:25 48:11 57:12.14 minute 15:4 29:19 minutes 32:25 misrepresentations 49:1 missed 43:24 moment 29:2 49:14 month 26:16 33:14 months 13:14 42:11 48:2 morning 7:2 9:24 11:21 11:24 12:8,10,22 13:24 30:15,22 32:18 mouth 31:2 murder 27:8 murdered 27:2 48:22

M.E 1:3 2:3 N N 2:1 4:1 naked 17:8 name 5:11 11:14 16:3 28:21 named 40:21 Nast 1:6,13 2:6 nature 24:19 near 19:2,6,7,16,20 20:2 nearly 18:10 neck 10:9 34:9 need 44:12 54:13 needed 8:20 9:7 12:4 12:14 31:2,14 42:10 51:14 54:22 needs 12:3 Nelon 2:5 3:4,6 5:10 14:7.19 24:1 29:13 32:4 36:21 38:19 39:16 40:1 45:4 48:10,20 49:6,14,15 52:6,10 55:11 56:9 56:18 58:11,23 59:1 neutral 9:7 never 10:1,1 13:23 25:9 44:1 new 5:24 night 21:1 22:9,11 41:12 42:15 55:16

nine 6:17,17 nobody's 11:7 noise 41:10 non-responsive 14:6 55:8 normally 54:7 notes 40:20,25 41:5 42:13

notice 3:12 4:9 November 13:12 48:5 53:6,22

Number 23:23 29:10 32:1 36:18 38:16 Numbers 5:6

 $\mathbf{o}$ 

nutshell 6:8

0.4:1

oath 37:11 39:2 object 14:5,10 39:12,24 45:2 48:8,17 49:3,9 52:4 55:7,18 56:14

objection 52:8 objections 4:12 observe 16:25 17:24

18:3

obtain 13:8 obvious 8:10 obviously 21:11 46:1

occasion 7:17 15:8,18 16:14 28:20 occasions 28:20 38:12

occur 31:21 office 5:17 6:11 9:14.15

11:23 14:24 15:25 21:5 31:15 32:15,20

38:2,11 39:1 40:22 42:19 46:8,25 49:1,2

60:17 officer 53:1

officers 34:2 53:10 offices 32:13

official 51:20

Oh 23:20 27:12 31:22 38:14

**OIG** 48:4 OIG's 39:1

Okay 20:14 29:17,20 38:5 53:7,14,18 55:1 55:5

Oklahoma 1:1,17,17 2:4,4,7,7 4:6,6,8 6:25 14:23,24 16:2,8 32:20 34:14 52:14

60:3,4,7,13,14 older 23:7

once 10:23 12:4 ones 11:6

ongoing 50:6 opinion 25:21 27:7

31:6 35:15 46:11,23 57:22 58:1

opinions 44:10 57:5,15 opportunity 33:16 originally 11:11 25:6

outside 9:7 11:6 overseeing 5:24 6:8

P 1:9 2:1,1 4:1 page 3:2,11 37:3,5 pages 46:4 painted 17:17 panic 19:1,5,6,7,12,20 20:3,11 paragraph 33:25 part 5:8 21:20 23:25 29:12 32:3 33:5 36:20 38:18 55:7 56:1 particular 37:21 particularly 27:9 parties 4:4,12 party 60:15

pass 25:17 passed 39:18 pathologist 24:14 25:15 pathologists 25:11 pathology 24:10,12,14 27:15 Patrick 46:7 pattern 35:17,18 patterns 16:18 people 9:9 11:4 13:25 15:21 16:3 19:1 27:5 28:2 42:5 44:9 45:20

51:15,18 58:18 perform 16:16 performed 22:12 24:17 period 36:1

permission 45:14 person 11:10 47:16 personal 40:18 42:22 45:5,9

personally 13:1 32:14 personnel 6:4,4 16:11 56:3

persuade 44:24 47:21 phone 3:15,16 7:2 8:24 24:8 25:16 31:9 32:11 33:14

photograph 35:3,4 photographed 55:25 photographs 21:20,22 21:24 35:19 photos 35:5

physicians 25:10 picture 22:15,17,18 pictures 14:17 22:1.5 22:11,15 43:4 place 7:10 24:20,22

placed 39:2 places 24:18 Plaintiff 1:4,10 2:2

plastic 34:2,5,10,11,11 34:21 35:14 plate 19:18 playing 40:4

please 5:12 29:16 pleasure 37:15 point 8:10 13:17 14:1 15:4.14 23:3.17

26:11,14 31:16 39:15 40:15 43:22 44:16 45:25 51:5,20 53:3

53:11 pointed 34:18 Polaroid 22:1

police 14:23 16:2,8 34:14 52:14

portion 33:5

posed 39:5 possible 28:4.8.12 35:16 44:13,14 48:21 55:23

powers 51:12 preceding 26:16 52:16 precise 39:19 prepare 36:14

prepared 30:8 36:5 presence 16:21

present 33:4 35:23,25 presented 50:20 53:17 pressure 51:11,15

pretty 6:7 10:7 28:17 primarily 33:6 prior 27:12 40:14

prison 43:8 Prisons 13:20 14:4

17:23 22:2 probabilities 28:8.9 probably 13:12,21,22

14:12 20:12 36:1 46:3

proceed 12:18 process 13:24 14:18 17:24

processed 17:11 processing 8:19 products 16:21 18:11 program 26:16,19,22 pronoun 9:17

pronounced 38:6 pronouncing 37:25 proper 31:14

properly 55:6,25 56:2 56:8

propounded 5:5 protection 11:7 provided 25:18 public 27:7 51:11,22,24 **PUBLICATIONS 1:7** 

1:13 publicly 46:17 published 48:7 49:24 **PUBLISHERS 1:6.14** pulled 29:7

purportedly 42:14 purpose 16:13,15 44:23 52:7

purposes 5:7 23:24 29:11 32:2 36:19 38:17,22

pursuant 4:9 put 8:23 47:25 48:10 51:11,15

P.L.C 1:13 p.m 5:3 59:7 60:13

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
quality 5:25
question 4:13 14:11
33:7 39:13,25 45:3
48:9,18 49:4,10 52:5
55:19 56:7,15 57:21
questioned 12:16 33:10
questions 5:4 19:23
37:9 39:5,8 52:10
55:8 57:6 58:2,12,23
quickly 10:15
quite 19:17 44:2 54:16

## R

R 2:1 60:1 ran 29:2 Ranger 42:23 43:2,11 43:15,17,19 44:6,12 44:24 rational 27:11 reach 57:7,8 read 37:8 46:16,22 49:23 53:5,23 59:2 readings 19:10 real 10:15 11:14 really 22:13 reason 41:4 reasonable 27:11 recall 14:7,13 15:9,11 19:4,14 20:24 21:7 22:4,14,18,21,23 23:2,2 28:14,24 31:20 40:2,23 41:3 41:18 42:16,21 43:6 43:13 47:6,9,11,17 receive 52:25 53:9 received 7:2 45:13 receiving 46:11,24 recognize 32:7 38:23 Recognizing 39:16 recollection 19:14 40:19 43:1 45:5 recommend 11:3 recommendation 9:6 reconstruct 56:5 reconstruction 54:17 record 5:8,12 11:9 23:25 29:12 32:3 36:20 38:18 records 13:8,9 15:8 recounting 44:20 red 22:25 Redirect 3:6 55:10 refer 19:1 reference 9:18,19 34:1 34:2 referring 14:20

reflect 24:24 30:13

reflecting 30:2 reflects 26:10 36:9 refused 9:8 12:5 regard 56:25 regular 54:13 reiterate 33:13 relate 34:20 relative 60:15 relevance 44:21 relevant 42:3 relying 56:21 remain 9:12 remember 13:13 17:6 28:6 repainted 17:19 reply 5:4 report 8:3 10:4 23:15 24:6 reported 1:25 9:5 Reporter 4:8 Reporter's 3:7 reporting 11:17 reports 7:22 representation 41:19 50:2 reputable 24:22 reputation 27:13,14 request 36:13 50:14,15 requested 13:25 research 24:21 reserved 4:13 resolved 51:9 respect 11:20 47:20 56:24 respective 4:3,11 response 34:15 rest 17:11 restating 12:1 restraint 34:7 result 18:24 results 44:17 review 24:20 47:5,14 59:4 reviewed 46:18 47:21 re-examine 24:16 Richardson 16:7 right 17:14 21:13 47:11 59:2.5 rights 28:2 47:1 RMR 1:25 60:20 Robert 2:3,5 role 24:12 40:4 45:9 room 20:10 30:22 33:4 Rowland 1:16 3:19,20

4:5 5:2,13,14 6:21

12:25 21:19 23:10

28:19 35:1 36:13

37:7 40:1,18 45:4

47:24 52:13 55:12 58:12 59:1 60:7 rule 35:17 45:16 ruled 26:3 rules 46:21 Russell 2:2 R-O-W-L-A-N-D 5:13

sight 42:1

signature 37:3 59:5

S 2:1,3 4:1,1 samples 34:14 sanitized 13:19 saw 15:9 18:4,14 19:15 22:8,10,11,14,15,19 33:24 34:9 46:22 saying 8:13 9:8 33:23 34:5 says 5:4 26:5 scene 5:24 14:3,17 40:11 54:7,22 55:24 57:16 scientific 44:19 Scott 32:13 35:23 seal 60:17 sealed 8:17 second 36:9,10 secured 9:12 17:10 see 13:2,4 15:7 16:16 16:17,20,20,23 17:25 18:7 22:5 23:18 32:24 33:17 35:13 37:20 42:1,7 45:21 45:22 46:9 seeing 26:24 seeking 31:11 seen 8:14 21:22 26:22 34:15 35:5 41:9 43:6 43:24 selected 47:14 sequence 11:20 served 36:15 set 30:6 43:16 47:25 48:11 shared 50:21,22 sharing 50:10 she'd 8:7,14 short 58:7 Shorthand 4:7 show 18:1.12 21:19 24:1 26:25 29:6,13 32:4 35:1 38:19 showed 22:15.19 shown 43:4 SHU 20:15,21

signed 30:11 significance 41:20 silencing 46:15 similar 35:18 simply 28:11 sincere 31:11 33:22 single 47:16 sink 41:11,17 sir 7:16 18:20 20:16 29:25 situation 8:8 9:15 45:10 small 36:1 smeared 23:6 somebody 11:1,8 17:20 17:22 44:20 56:20 somebody's 20:1,6 57:12 someone's 20:3 soon 11:24,25 . sorry 17:22 31:22 sort 34:2 35:8 sought 31:4 spatter 16:17 54:3,6,8 54:20,23 55:14 special 13:7 14:14 15:5 16:1 20:14 40:3,15 40:20 41:18 45:6,13 specific 37:18 specifically 47:9 48:5 50:11 spend 29:22 spoken 43:4 spraying 18:2 19:14 spreading 17:25 SS 60:3 staff 11:2 12:17 22:2 stain 23:1 stainless 19:11 stalled 51:1 standpoint 15:14 started 51:21 state 4:8 5:11,16,22 28:11 43:7 46:17 60:3.7 stated 41:8 statement 18:14 21:6 40:9 56:10 statements 21:6 45:22 STATES 1:1 steel 19:11 Stefano 38:7 stenotype 60:10 steps 9:16 STIPULATED 4:2,10

Stipulations 3:3

stool 17:5 20:9 stopped 19:16,16 stops 25:14 stories 42:7,18 stretch 22:13 strongly 11:3 stuff 9:3 subpoena 3:13 4:9 subsequent 31:17 32:11 subsequently 31:5 substance 24:24 39:20 substantiate 52:20 suggest 52:20 suicide 8:13,16 28:5,13 44:25 47:22 50:17 52:22 56:19 58:1 Suite 2:4 summarize 34:4 supervise 5:20 **supervisor** 7:21 10:5 supervisors 40:16 Supervisory 12:11 supplemental 24:6 support 56:23 supposed 22:1 43:2 sure 7:10 16:4 23:18 47:1 58:9 surrounding 42:6 suspicious 12:13 25:25 26:4 46:12 SUTTER 1:12 swiped 18:13 swore 37:11 sworn 5:3 38:13 39:2 60:8 system 43:8

## T

T 4:1,1 60:1,1 take 9:15 29:16 37:7 38:13 58:7 taken 1:17 4:5 22:2 56:1 60:9,12 talk 25:7 30:4,4,7 31:14 33:17 34:10 48:5 49:19 50:5 51:18 56:18 talked 8:25 12:11 15:8 25:9 37:19 53:25 talking 11:16 27:16 34:24 38:2 Tammy 7:3 9:13 Taylor 16:6 team 34:15 telephone 24:25 tell 18:5 29:5 39:2 57:17

shut 31:2

shy 6:13

sic 38:7

side 20:10

telling 42:9 trained 54:5 56:3 underway 48:4 48:19 49:5,11 52:9 2000 53:6,22 term 34:12 training 5:23 34:16 undetermined 26:3 55:20 56:16 58:9 2002 1:18 4:6 60:13,18 terms 5:19 7:4 11:19 transcribed 60:10 unfortunate 19:8 59:6 60:12,17 201 2:3 testified 27:18,22 45:21 transcript 38:21 59:3 unit 20:15 witnesses 47:4 21 6:22 12:22 55:16 47:16 60:11 UNITED 1:1 wonder 20:6 21st 9:25 testify 27:21,24 28:4 transcripts 45:20 46:5 unknown 26:6 words 39:20 23 3:15,15 60:8 46:16.18 47:2 unloaded 11:25 work 6:12 11:8 54:13 23rd 6:12 60:18 testimony 3:20 38:13 worked 12:3 44:21 transfer 6:24 7:7.15.25 unusual 44:5 25 43:6,24 45:7 46:20 47:4.13 9:23 11:10,17 13:1 use 9:17 18:21 34:21,23 working 30:22 **29** 3:16 47:20 53:5,21 54:18 20:17 30:5 34:24,25 utilize 51:3 world 24:18 56:11 2900 2:7 60:11 53:2,11 wouldn't 46:16 3 testing 16:16,16 transpired 44:17 writing 49:16 tests 54:1 trauma 10:25 26:1 Vance 11:11 written 31:23 37:11 3 3:14 23:23 24:2 Texas 42:23 43:7,15,19 tree 18:16 versus 23:6 wrong 11:8 -323:18 44:12,23 Trentadue 1:12 9:24 vertical 35:9,12 wrongdoing 11:5 35 43:7,24 they'd 7:7 10:17 11:15,20 13:2 view 15:15 wrote 29:3 36 3:19 thing 10:14 51:8 13:18 23:13 25:22 visit 15:10 38.3:20 things 6:5,7 14:15 28:4 30:3,14 31:5 visited 38:25 16:20 18:8 19:8 34:9 35:20 37:17 4 visiting 16:13 yeah 8:22 13:15,16 24:18 29:23 30:25 39:10 40:5.11 41:9 Vol 3:20 17:22 18:18 23:2 4 3:3,16 29:10,14,24 33:22 44:14 46:19,19 42:15,24 43:4 48:15 vs 1:5,11 26:9,9,11 38:14 37:3 47:8 49:12 51:1 49:2,21 52:15 53:1,6 41:21 47:15 53:14 W 54:11,15 58:4 53:10 54:21 58:19 years 5:23 6:13,14,17 5 think 13:5 15:11 18:15 Trentadue's 52:20 Wade 3:16,17 28:22 6:17 15:12 37:20 5 3:4,12,13,17 32:1,5,9 22:10 28:17 35:8 55:16 58:3,14 29:1 30:15,17 31:8 39:18 55:17 5A 21:21 Thornton 40:21 41:7 trial 4:14 31:18 32:12,15,19,22 **5B** 35:2 thought 14:2 41:22 troubling 19:23 32:24 33:10,13,17 5-30-97 3:14 thousands 46:4 true 52:13,24 53:8 34:4,18 35:23 36:3 1 3:3,7,12,18 5:6 **52** 3:5 threatening 21:15 60:11 36:11 1st 6:16 55 3:6 three 13:14 trust 50:17 waive 45:18 59:5,6 1:38 5:3 60:13 three-way 30:6 truth 39:2 60:8 6 walking 21:3 10 3:6,16 37:21 tie 34:21 35:14 truthful 33:21.23 39:6 walls 17:16.19 18:6 11 1:18 3:5 4:6 37:22 **6** 3:12,13,19 36:18,22 ties 34:2,5,11 try 43:14 44:12,13,24 want 16:3 47:2 60:13 **6E** 45:16,16 time 4:14,14,16 7:5,11 trying 8:25 20:1,4,7,7 wanted 7:12 12:17 13th 31:23 6:40 7:1 7:13 8:16,22 9:13 25:12 34:6,6 41:15 28:11 30:4.6 31:5 14 15:17 60 3:7 10:18,20 12:7,25 44:15,18 43:16 47:5 51:21 14th 16:25 22:9 40:7 7 13:4,6,17 15:17 17:3 turf 21:9 warden 8:24 9:20 **15** 32:25 turned 11:14 49:17. 18:7,14,22 19:24 10:11 11:19 163:20 7 3:20 38:16,20 21:2,12 22:6,8,10 turns 10:25 wasn't 33:4 47:15 1600 2:4 7:50 11:23 23:3,11,17 25:8,24 two 6:13 7:10 21:19 53:16 55:6 **161** 60:21 709 20:14 26:3,11,14 27:22 26:15 28:1 33:8,8 way 19:17 41:6 43:25 **17** 5:22 6:13,14 52:16 709A 13:18 15:19 31:16 33:5,5,20,23 38:14 51:15 50:21 183:19 49:18 35:24 36:2,2 37:7 two-thirds 5:22 weeks 6:13 13:15,22 19 40:6 **73102** 2:4,7 40:2,10 42:7 43:22 type 34:21 50:9,9 58:14 went 10:21 13:1,4,6,6 1985 6:13 45:25 46:2,6,8 48:12 typed 24:7,8 15:23 16:9.24 36:9 **1995** 6:19,22 13:12 48:14,15,24 50:8 types 41:15 40:12 57:11 15:17 40:7 56:13 8 30:15 51:2.10 52:23 53:2,3 weren't 8:17,18 44:10 **1997** 23:11 28:19 29:3 8-10-98 3:20 U 53:11,15 57:4 58:13 50:8 51:2 30:15 31:22 48:1,21 8-8-97 3:16 58:17 U 4:1 western 1:1 5:21 49:7 8:25 12:10 uh-huh 29:9 48:13 54:2 told 7:6 8:9,12,16 9:4 We'll 29:18 1998 37:14,20 56:11 9 9:13 10:6,23 17:21 ultimate 57:25 we're 48:6 50:23 51:22 27:25 30:24 31:1,13 ultimately 17:9 49:16 2 51:23 93:4 understand 42:11 33:13 39:21 43:5,9 we've 24:2 29:14 32:5 2 3:13 5:6 93 6:16 43:12 46:13 44:13,14 57:11 58:6 36:22 38:20,21 95 7:13 12:22 22:10 2:51 58:10 Tom 1:3 2:3 16:1 40:3 understanding 12:21 William 16:7 2:54 58:10 97 36:16 48:5 49:18 tool 46:15 27:16 47:12 55:21 window 10:2 2:55 59:7 totality 57:23 understood 24:11 wit 5:5 20 29:18 32:6 traces 17:7 25:24 26:23 47:2 witness 14:12 39:14 20th 29:3 31:22,23